

United States Patent and Trademark Office

fu

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/800,218	03/12/2004	Andrew G. Berezowski	91510 8571	
7590 06/19/2006		EXAMINER		
Patent Services Group			TRAN, QUOC DUC	
Honeywell Inter				
101 Columbia Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 2245			2614	
Morristown, NJ 07962			DATE MAILED: 06/19/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/800,218	BEREZOWSKI E1	ΓAL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Quoc D. Tran	2614					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ma	arch 2006						
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٠,٥	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
·								
	Claim(s) <u>10-13,16,17,19 and 29-38</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	☐ Claim(s) 10-13,16,17,19 and 33-37 is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) 29-32 and 38 is/are rejected.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
• 0	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa)-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/800,218

Art Unit: 2614

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Barber (6,295,001).

Consider claim 29, Barber teaches a system comprising: a plurality of multi-zone paging systems, each paging system including circuitry for selecting at least one of a plurality of zones, each zone including a plurality of at least audio output devices (Fig. 3; col. 3 lines 8-23), each paging system also including an interface to a computer network for bidirectional communications of at least audio messages with a displaced, common, source (col. 4 lines 35-41).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 30-32 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barber (6,295,001) in view of Troen-Krasnow et al (6,442,250).

Application/Control Number: 10/800,218 Page 3

Art Unit: 2614

Consider claim 30, Barber did not suggest the system, which includes software for presenting a paging system and zone selection screen. However, Troen-Krasnow suggested such (col. 4 lines 8-25). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Troen-Krasnow et al into view of Barber in order to provide user with a more user friendly interface for placing the broadcast message.

Consider claim 31, as discussed above, Barber teaches the system includes software that transmits paging system and zone specifics, as selected on the screen to at least one of the paging systems via the computer network for presentation of selected audio messages in the selected zone (col. 2 lines 40-50).

Consider claim 32, Troen-Krasnow et al teach a system, which includes software for providing a graphical display of paging system status feedback information (col. 9 lines 52-57).

Consider claim 38, Barber does not clearly suggest wherein the audio messages comprising verbal messages. However, Troen-Krasnow suggested such (col. 4 lines 8-25). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Troen-Krasnow et al into view of Barber by replacing the audible alert with a verbal alert in order to provide user with a more pleasing sound.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 10-13, 16-17, 19, 33-37 are allowed. Application/Control Number: 10/800,218 Page 4

Art Unit: 2614

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 3/30/2006 with respect to claim 29 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding applicant arguments on pages 6-8 that Barber does not disclosed of transmitting audible messages or disclosed of bidirectional communications. Accordingly, the examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant arguments. Claim 29 recites "an audio message". It is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., voice) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26

USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Regarding applicant limitation of bidirectional communications. Bidirectional communications is a two-way communications. Barber clearly disclosed that the system is provides for two-way communications. Therefore, Barber clearly read on the limitations as claimed.

Important Notice

7. The Group and/or Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to *Group Art Unit 2614*.

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Mail Stop _____(explanation, e.g., Amendment or After-final, etc.)

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Facsimile responses should be faxed to:

(571) 273-8300

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Customer Service Window

Randolph Building

401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Quoc Tran** whose telephone number is (571) 272-7511. The examiner can normally be reached on M, T, TH and Friday from 8:00 to 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Curtis Kuntz**, can be reached on (571) 272-7499.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Technology Center 2600** whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

QUOCTRAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

June 8, 2006

AU 2614